
Roundtable meeting with Associations of Energy Market Participants
9th April 2015, 13:30-17:30 and 10th April 2015, 09:30-15:30
(6th floor, ACER's premises)
Minutes

NAME	ORGANIZATION
Matthias Jakob	ACER
Tomaž Vižintin	ACER
Elio Zammuto	ACER
Iztok Zlatař	ACER
Martina Gobbo	AIGET
Marcel Steinbach	BDEW
Jan-Welf Selke (observer)	BNetzA
Bernhard Walter	Eurelectric
Tine Bax	Eurelectric
Clare Stark (observer)	Ofgem
Carmen Rey De Arce	EASEE-gas
Peter Meeuwis	EASEE-gas
Darren Lampert	EFET
Paul Kennedy	EFET
David Trevallion	Energy UK
Naim Ahmadi	IFIEC
Valentin Höhn	IFIEC
Vince McCallion	IOGP
Michael Beer	Swisselectric
Yann Cimino	UFE
Michael Gurschler	Österreichs Energie
Nadja Keraenen	FGW
Tzvetelina Tzankova (observer)	Elcom

1. Opening

Welcome address and introduction of the participants. The agenda was approved. The minutes from the previous meeting were also approved.

2. General update on REMIT implementation

ACER gave an update on the REMIT implementation. In particular, it was brought to the participants' attention that the European Commission's REMIT Implementing Acts were adopted and published in December 2014 and that ACER had made the REMIT Reporting User Package (Transaction Reporting User Manual, Manual of Procedures on Transaction and Fundamental Data Reporting, RRM Requirements, List of Organised Market Places, List of Standard Contracts) and the REMIT portal available to their stakeholders to help ACER and the industry implement REMIT more efficiently. ACER also mentioned that the REMIT portal enables the reporting parties to register with ACER as Registered Reporting Mechanisms (RRMs) in order to provide ACER with REMIT.

3. Non-standard contract examples (day 1 and 2)

Five Associations of Energy Market Participants (AEMPs) presented their examples of non-standard contracts and executions under non-standard contracts. The technical discussion of the AEMPs' examples lasted until the end of the first day and continued during the first half of the second day.

There was a general understanding during the meeting that the AEMPs' examples of non-standard contracts and executions under non-standards contracts should be able to cover most of the reportable non-standard contract and non-standard contract execution scenarios.

It was agreed that the organizations that presented their examples at the meeting would send ACER a revised version of their examples, taking into account the discussion that took place. Then, over the course of May, ACER would look into the revised examples and, if needed, offer a one-to-one phone call to each AEMP that had sent a revised version of their examples to ACER. ACER said that once the examples are discussed, ACER would share them with all the roundtable participants in June in order to finalize them ahead of the next roundtable meeting.

ACER said that they would consider clarifying the TRUM or other documentation on the billing cycle issue (e.g. monthly, calendar month, the possibility for Market Participants to report the executions under non-standard contracts at different dates) and share ACER's view at the next meeting.

4. Other aspects of non-standard contract (day 2)

With regard to questions that AEMPs may have for ACER on non-standard contracts and their executions, ACER suggested that AEMPs put all their questions into one document and send them to ACER. It was also said that it would be useful if one or two roundtable participants could volunteer to handle the collection of questions for ACER. They will communicate with ACER on behalf of the roundtable participants.

5. Planning ahead

The AEMP representatives will coordinate the collection of questions on transaction reporting raised by roundtable participants and any other stakeholders (any question sent directly to ACER will be redirected to the participants for their information). ACER suggested that the roundtable participants send their questions to ACER on a weekly basis (e.g. each Friday) in a predefined format. ACER also said that if the answers to the questions are not in the TRUM, ACER will try to provide answers as soon as possible.

ACER mentioned that a list of action points would be shared with the AEMPs after the meeting.

6. AOB

The meeting participants appreciated the opportunity to discuss the agenda topics and ACER expressed that the meeting was very useful.

It was proposed to have another meeting in July and that ACER communicate the dates soon. ACER proposed to send the AEMP representatives a doodle pool for the July meeting dates.